EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

HOGAN & HARTSON

ORIGINAL

DAVID L. SIERADZKI
PARTNER
(202) 637-6462
DLSIERADZKI@HHLAW. COM

March 17, 2004

COLUMBIA SQUARE
555 THIRTEENTH STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1109
TEL (202) 637-5600
FAX (202) 637-5910
WWW.HHLAW.COM

RECEIVED

MAR 1 7 2004

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: RM-10822 (Elimination of Rate-of-Return Regulation of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Petition for

Rulemaking);

CC Docket No. 96-45 (Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service);

CC Docket No. 01-92 (Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime)

CC Docket No. 97-100 (Petitions for Expedited Declaratory Ruling Preempting Arkansas Telecommunications Regulatory Reform Act of 1997)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of Western Wireless Corp. ("Western Wireless"), Mark Rubin, Director of Federal Government Affairs, Western Wireless, and I made separate ex parte presentations regarding the proceedings listed above yesterday to the following FCC staff members: (1) Christopher Libertelli, senior legal advisor to Chairman Powell; (2) Matthew Brill, senior legal advisor to Commissioner Abernathy; and (3) William Maher, Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau ("WCB"); Carol Mattey, Deputy Chief, WCB; Tamara Preiss, Chief, Pricing Policy Division ("PPD"), WCB; Steve Morris, Deputy Chief, PPD, WCB; Eric Einhorn, Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division ("TAPD"), WCB; and Sharon Webber, Deputy Chief, TAPD, WCB.

Marlene H. Dortch March 17, 2004 Page 2

The content of the presentations was consistent with Western Wireless' previous filings in these dockets. We handed out copies of some of those previous filings. We also discussed the relationship between the issues raised in Western Wireless' Petition for Rulemaking to Eliminate Rate-of-Return Regulation and proceedings in the universal service and intercarrier compensation dockets. In addition, we addressed the urgent need for action in the Arkansas preemption proceeding, and the Arkansas statute's blatant inconsistency with the federal Act and with recent FCC and Joint Board pronouncements on the criteria for designating eligible telecommunications carriers.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

David Dieradyki

David L. Sieradzki

Counsel for Western Wireless Corp.

Enclosures

cc. FCC Staff members listed above